

Decision 01-11-013 November 8, 2001

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Amandeep Singh Manesh, Gurpreet Singh, Gurvinder Klaur Randhawa, Rajinder Singh Randhawa, and Gurbachan Singh, doing business as Economy Express Airporter/Limo for authority to operate as a passenger stage corporation between points in San Francisco, Santa Clara, Alameda, Contra Costa and the San Francisco, Oakland, and San Jose International Airports and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom.

Application 01-05-070
(Filed May 21, 2001)

O P I N I O N

Summary

This decision grants the application of Amandeep Singh Manesh, Gurpreet Singh, Gurvinder Klaur Randhawa, Rajinder Singh Randhawa, and Gurbachan Singh (Applicant), a partnership, pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 1031 et seq., for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate as a passenger stage corporation (PSC), as defined in Pub. Util. Code § 226 and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom (ZORF), pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 454.2.

Discussion

The application, as amended by letter of September 24, 2001, requests authority to operate as an on-call PSC to transport passengers and their baggage between points in the counties of San Francisco, Santa Clara, Alameda, Contra

Costa, on the one hand, and San Francisco (SFO), Oakland (OAK), and San Jose (SJC) International Airports, on the other hand. Applicant will use one van. The proposed fares, as listed in Exhibit D, range between \$12 (San Bruno - SFO) and \$85 (Berkeley Hills-SJC). Attached to the application is an unaudited Balance Sheet that discloses assets of \$116,000, liabilities of \$3,000 and net worth of \$113,000.

Applicant also requests authority to establish a ZORF of \$8 above and below any of the proposed fares, shown in Exhibit D, attached to the application. The minimum one-way adult fare is \$5. Applicant will compete with other PSCs, taxicabs, limousines, buses, and automobiles in its service area. The establishment of the ZORF is fair and reasonable.

Notice of filing of the application appeared in the Commission's Daily Calendar on May 21, 2001. Applicant notified the affected airports and transit agencies. Applicant requests a waiver from the provisions of Rule 21 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (RPP) which require service of a notice of the application on all city and county officials within whose boundaries the passengers will be loaded or unloaded. Applicant served a notice to the five involved counties and 32 cities in the service area. Applicant said that all parties that have an interest in Commission proceedings subscribe to or have access to the Commission's Daily Calendar. We shall exercise the discretion accorded to us by Rule 87 and grant the waiver requested by Applicant because it will be providing on-call service, not scheduled service and because service on all cities in the service territory would be burdensome.

In Resolution ALJ 176-3065 dated June 14, 2001, the Commission preliminarily categorized this application as ratesetting, and preliminarily determined that hearings were not necessary. No protest has been received.

Given this status, public hearing is not necessary, and it is not necessary to alter the preliminary determinations made in Resolution ALJ 176-3065.

This is an uncontested matter in which the decision grants the relief requested. Accordingly, pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 311(g)(2), the otherwise applicable 30-day period for public review and comment is being waived.

Findings of Fact

1. Applicant requests authority to operate as an on-call PSC to transport passengers and their baggage between points in the counties of San Francisco, Santa Clara, Alameda, Contra Costa and San Mateo, on the one hand, and SFO, OAK, and SJC, on the other hand.

2. Public convenience and necessity requires the proposed service.

3. Applicant requests authority to establish a ZORF of \$8 above and below any of the proposed fares, shown in Exhibit D, attached to the application. The minimum one-way adult fare is \$5.

4. Applicant will compete with PSCs, taxicabs, limousines, buses, and automobiles in its operations. The ZORF is fair and reasonable

5. No protest to the application has been filed.

6. A public hearing is not necessary.

7. Applicant requests a waiver of the notice requirements of Rule 21 of the RPP as it has served notice to five counties, 32 cities, each of the affected airports and public transit operators, and the transportation planning agency in the service area.

8. It can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment.

Conclusions of Law

1. Public convenience and necessity have been demonstrated and the application should be granted.
2. The request for a ZORF should be granted.
3. Before Applicant changes any fares under the ZORF authorized below, Applicant shall give this Commission at least 10 days' notice. The tariff should show between each pair of service points the high and low ends of the ZORF and the then currently effective fare.
4. The request to waive the notice requirements of Rule 21 should be granted.
5. Since the matter is uncontested, the decision should be effective on the date it is signed.

Only the amount paid to the State for operative rights may be used in rate fixing. The State may grant any number of rights and may cancel or modify the monopoly feature of these rights at any time.

O R D E R

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A certificate of public convenience and necessity (CPCN) is granted to Amandeep Singh Manesh, Gurpreet Singh, Gurvinder Klaur Randhawa, Rajinder Singh Randhawa, and Gurbachan Singh (Applicant), a partnership, authorizing it to operate as a passenger stage corporation (PSC), as defined in Pub. Util. Code § 226, to transport persons and their baggage, between the points and over the route set forth in Appendix PSC-14539, subject to the conditions contained in the following paragraphs.
2. Applicant shall:
 - a. File a written acceptance of this certificate within 30 days after this order is effective.

- b. Establish the authorized service and file tariffs and timetables within 120 days after this order is effective.
 - c. File tariffs on or after the effective date of this order. They shall become effective ten days or more after the effective date of this order, provided that the Commission and the public are given not less than ten days' notice.
 - d. Comply with General Orders Series 101, 104, and 158, and the California Highway Patrol (CHP) safety rules.
 - e. Comply with the controlled substance and alcohol testing certification program pursuant to Pub. Util. Code § 1032.1 and General Order Series 158.
 - f. Maintain accounting records in conformity with the Uniform System of Accounts.
 - g. Remit to the Commission the Transportation Reimbursement Fee required by Pub. Util. Code § 423 when notified by mail to do so.
 - h. Comply with Pub. Util. Code §§ 460.7 and 1043, relating to the Workers' Compensation laws of this state.
 - i. Enroll all drivers in the pull notice system as required by Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code.
3. Applicant is authorized under Pub. Util. Code § 454.2 to establish a ZORF of \$8 above and below any of the proposed fares, shown in Exhibit D, attached to the application. The minimum one-way adult fare is \$5.
4. Applicant shall file a ZORF tariff in accordance with the application on not less than 10 days' notice to the Commission and to the public. The ZORF shall expire unless exercised within 120 days after the effective date of this order.
5. Applicant may make changes within the ZORF by filing amended tariffs on not less than 10 days' notice to the Commission and to the public. The tariff shall include between each pair of service points the authorized maximum and minimum fares and the fare to be charged.

6. In addition to posting and filing tariffs, Applicant shall post notices explaining fare changes in its terminals and passenger-carrying vehicles. Such notices shall be posted at least ten days before the effective date of the fare changes and shall remain posted for at least thirty days.

7. Applicant is authorized to begin operations on the date that the Rail Safety and Carriers Division mails a notice to Applicant that its evidence of insurance and other documents required by Ordering Paragraph 2 have been filed with the Commission and that the CHP has approved the use of Applicant's vehicles for service.

8. Before beginning service to any airport, Applicant shall notify the airport's governing body. Applicant shall not operate into or on airport property unless such operations are authorized by the airport's governing body.

9. The CPCN to operate as PSC-14539, granted herein, expires, unless exercised within 120 days after the effective date of this order.

10. The notice requirements of Rule 21 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure are waived as copies and notice of the application have been served upon parties that may have an interest in this proceeding.

11. The Application, as amended by letter of September 24, 2001, is granted as set forth above.

12. This proceeding is closed.

This order is effective today.

Dated November 8, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

LORETTA M. LYNCH

President

RICHARD A. BILAS

CARL W. WOOD

GEOFFREY F. BROWN

Commissioners

Commissioner Henry M. Duque, being necessarily absent, did not participate.

A.01-05-070 ALJ/KLK/jyc

Appendix PSC-14539 Amandeep Singh Manesh, Original Title Page
Gurpreet Singh, Gurvinder Klaur Randhawa,
Rajinder Singh Randhawa, and Gurbachan Singh
(a partnership)

CERTIFICATE

OF

PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY

AS A PASSENGER STAGE CORPORATION

PSC-14539

Showing passenger stage operative rights, restrictions,
limitations, exceptions, and privileges

All changes and amendments as authorized by
the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California
will be made as revised pages or added original pages.

Appendix PSC-14539 Amandeep Singh Manesh, Original Page 1
Gurpreet Singh, Gurvinder Klaur Randhawa,
Rajinder Singh Randhawa, and Gurbachan Singh
(a partnership)

I N D E X

	Page
SECTION I. GENERAL AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, LIMITATIONS, AND SPECIFICATIONS.....	2
SECTION II. SERVICE AREAS	3
SECTION III. ROUTE DESCRIPTION	3

Appendix PSC-14539 Amandeep Singh Manesh, Original Page 2
Gurpreet Singh, Gurvinder Klaur Randhawa,
Rajinder Singh Randhawa, and Gurbachan Singh
(a partnership)

SECTION I. GENERAL AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS,
LIMITATIONS, AND SPECIFICATIONS.

Amandeep Singh Manesh, Gurpreet Singh, Gurvinder Klaur Randhawa, Rajinder Singh Randhawa, and Gurbachan Singh, a partnership, by the certificate of public convenience and necessity granted by the decision noted in the foot of the margin, is authorized to transport passengers and their baggage on an "on-call" basis, between points and places as described in Section IIA, and the airports described in Section IIB, over and along the route described in Section III, subject however, to the authority of this Commission to change or modify this authority at any time and subject to the following provisions:

- A. When a route description is given in one direction, it applies to operation in either direction unless otherwise indicated.
- B. The term "on-call", as used, refers to service, which is authorized to be rendered dependent on the demands of passengers. The tariffs shall show the conditions under which each authorized on-call service will be provided, and shall include the description of the boundary of each fare zone, except when a single fare is charged to all points within a single incorporated city.
- C. No passengers shall be transported except those having a point of origin or destination as described in Section IIB.
- D. This certificate does not authorize the holder to conduct any operation on the property of any airport unless such operation is authorized by the airport authority involved.

Appendix PSC-14539 Amandeep Singh Manesh, Original Page 3
Gurpreet Singh, Gurvinder Klaur Randhawa,
Rajinder Singh Randhawa, and Gurbachan Singh
(a partnership)

SECTION II. SERVICE AREA.

- A. Points and places in the counties of San Francisco, Santa Clara, Alameda, Contra Costa, and San Mateo.
- B. San Francisco International Airport.
Oakland International Airport.
San Jose International Airport.

SECTION III. ROUTE DESCRIPTION.

Commencing from any point as described in Section IIA, then over the most convenient streets, expressways, and highways to the airports described in Section IIB.